

## UNITED STATES EXPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/555,523 09/15/00 ROULIER 6388-0518-0 **EXAMINER** HM12/1010 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND BERMAN, A MAIER & NEUSTADT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY 1619 ARLINGTON VA 22202 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

10/10/01

|   | Application No.         | Applicant(s)   |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| <b></b>   | 09/555,523              | ROULIER ET AL.                                       |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                | Art Unit   |
|   | Alysia Berman           | 1619   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                         |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                         |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>September 15, 2000</u> .  |                         |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th  | is action is non-final. |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                         |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                         |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.   |                         |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                         |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                         |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                         |  |
| Application Papers  |                         |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                         |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                         |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |                         |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                         |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                         |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                         |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                         |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                         |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |                         |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                         |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                         |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                         |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                         |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                         |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal I | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 1619

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to an emulsion, classified in class 516, subclass 53+.
- II. Claim 10, and a method of using an emulsion, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- III. Claim 11, drawn to a method of making a dermatological composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- IV. Claims 12 and 13, drawn a method of making an emulsion, classified in class 516, subclass 53+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make a dermatological composition.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523

Art Unit: 1619

be used to treat, protect, care for, cleanse and/or make up the skin, mucous membranes and/or hair.

4. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by conventional methods of making emulsions such as simple mixing that do not require passing the oily phase through a hydrophilic porous glass membrane.

Page 3

- 5. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of making a dermatological composition does not require the use of the emulsion for treating, protecting, caring for and/or cleansing the skin, mucous membranes and/or hair, and/or for making up the skin and/or mucous membranes. The subcombination has separate utility such as for treating, protecting, caring for and/or cleansing the skin, mucous membranes and/or hair, and/or for making up the skin and/or mucous membranes.
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product.

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523

Art Unit: 1619

Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using.

Page 4

- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of making the emulsion does not require the use of the emulsion for treating, protecting, caring for and/or cleansing the skin, mucous membranes and/or hair, and/or for making up the skin and/or mucous membranes and/or cleansing the skin, mucous membranes and/or hair, and/or for making up the skin and/or mucous membranes.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1619

11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. A telephone call was made to Bill Beaumont on September 01, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an election of the invention to be examined to be complete even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alysia Berman whose telephone number is 703-308-4638. The examiner can normally be reached during core hours.

Art Unit: 1619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diana Dudash can be reached on 703-308-2328. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3704 or 703-305-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234 or 703-308-1235.

Patent Examiner

September 27, 2001

Page 6